

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/542,674	Applicant(s) AYRES ET AL.	
	Examiner Terry L. Englund	Art Unit 2816	

All Participants:

(1) Exmr Terry L. Englund.

(2) Applicant's Rep Wen Liu (Reg. No. 32,822).

Status of Application: Now allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 January 2008

Time: 2:45 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C. 102 related

Claims discussed:

21-23

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. Patent 7,005,912 B2 by Nonaka (Figs. 1, 5 and 6)

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The applicant's representative agreed to modify proposed language within claims 21-23 to clearly overcome the prior art of Nonaka. See details described in the Examiner's Amendment, and the Office Action's related comments.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/542,674	Applicant(s) AYRES ET AL.	
	Examiner Terry L. Englund	Art Unit 2816	

All Participants:

(1) Exmr Terry L. Englund.

(2) Applicant's Rep Wen Liu (Reg. No. 32,822).

Status of Application: Allowable if changes approved

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 January 2008

Time: 2:45 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S. 112 and 35 U.S.C. 102 related

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 6, 14, 15, and 21-24

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. Patent 7,005,912 B2 by Nonaka (Figs. 1, 5, and 6)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed suggested changes to claim language to overcome various objections, rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, and 35 U.S.C. 102. Applicant's representative will consider changes, and approve and/or suggest alternative language. See the Jan 25th Examiner's Amendment for details.